

**Assignment 3**

(Due: Monday, February 27)

1. Consider the following NK model. The Phillips Curve is given by

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \kappa(y_t - y_t^n)$$

and the IS equation by the intertemporal Euler equation

$$y_t - y_t^n = -\frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t[\pi_{t+1}] - r_t^n) + E_t[y_{t+1} - y_{t+1}^n]$$

where

$$r_t^n = \rho + \sigma E_t[y_{t+1}^n - y_t^n]$$

is the natural rate of interest.

Consider first the policy rule  $i_t = r_t^n$ .

- Set up a state-space representation for this economy. [Hint: Eliminate  $i_t$  from the system.]
- Show that there exists a time path such that both inflation and the output gap are constant for any shock  $\epsilon_t$ .
- Show that this time path is not unique.

Consider now the policy rule  $i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t$ .

- Set up a state-space representation for this economy. [Hint: Eliminate  $i_t$  from the system.]
- Find a condition for which there is a unique stable solution for this economy.

- (c) Characterize the solution  $(\pi_t, y_t - y_t^n)$  by iterating forward on the system of difference equations.

2. Suppose now a central bank would like to minimize the loss function

$$L = E_0 \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (\alpha x_t^2 + \pi_t^2) \right].$$

The central bank follows a simple rule given by  $i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t$ .

The economy is described by a NK Phillips Curve and an IS equation as in the previous question. Assume that there are shocks to these equations given by

$$u_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_u)$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\epsilon)$$

- (a) Set up a state-space representation and solve for  $(\pi_t, x_t)$  as a function of the shocks  $(u_t, \epsilon_t)$ .
- (b) Find the optimal policy  $\phi_\pi^*$ . [Hint: Use the three equations directly in the objective function. Then, exploit that the shocks are normally distributed.]
- (c) Discuss how the parameters  $(\alpha, \kappa)$  of the model and the shocks influence the optimal policy.

3. Consider a household with the following utility function

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( \frac{\epsilon_{ct} C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\eta}}{1+\eta} \right)$$

where  $\epsilon_{ct}$  is a preference shock often referred to as a taste or demand shock. The household chooses aggregate consumption  $C_t$ , can save in nominal one-period bonds which have a price of  $Q_t$ , faces lump-sum transfers  $T_t$  and supplies labour  $N_t$  to firms for a nominal wage equal to  $W_t$ . Production in the economy is given by the production function

$$Y = AN_t^\alpha.$$

- (a) Derive the Euler equation for the household.
- (b) Log-linearize the Euler equation and express it in terms of the output gap  $x_t = y_t - y_t^n$ . How does it depend on  $\epsilon_{ct}$ ?

Consider a NK Philips Curve and a reaction function for monetary policy given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_t &= \beta E_t[\pi_{t+1}] + \kappa(y_t - y_t^n) + \epsilon_{\pi t} \\ i_t &= \bar{i} + \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y(y_t - y_t^n) + \epsilon_{it},\end{aligned}$$

where the natural level of output associated with flexible prices is given by

$$y_t^n = \psi a_t - \xi,$$

where  $\psi = \frac{1+\eta}{\sigma\alpha+\eta+(1-\alpha)}$  and  $\xi = \frac{\alpha \log \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha(\epsilon-1)}}{\sigma\alpha+\eta+(1-\alpha)}$ . Set the policy parameters so that  $\phi_\pi = 1.5$  and  $\phi_y = 0.125$ .

- (c) Use DYNARE to estimate  $\kappa$ ,  $\epsilon$  and the parameters for the shocks  $(\epsilon_{ct}, \epsilon_{at}, \epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{\pi t})$  assuming that these shocks follow AR(1) processes with  $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon_t})$ . When doing so, calibrate all other parameters. [Hint: Calibrate all other parameters from an RBC model.]
- (d) Compute impulse response functions for  $(i_t, \pi_t, x_t, y_t, y_t^n)$  for each of the shocks.
- (e) For the shock  $\epsilon_{at}$ , how does varying the policy coefficients  $(\phi_\pi, \phi_y)$  change your results? Interpret your results.
- (f) For the shock  $\epsilon_{\pi t}$ , how does varying the policy coefficients  $(\phi_\pi, \phi_y)$  change your results? Interpret your results.