## Assignment 4

(Due: Tuesday, March 10 – in class)

- 1. Finish the last question from Assignment 3.
- 2. Consider a standard RBC model with households having preferences given by the perperiod utility function

$$u(c,n) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{(1-n)^{1-\nu}}{1-\nu}.$$

The production function is given by

$$Y_t = z_t C_t^{\omega} K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha}$$

where  $\omega \in (0, 1)$  and  $C_t$  denotes aggregate consumption and is given by

$$C_t = \int c_{i,t} di$$

where *i* is an individual household and the total measure of households is normalized to 1. Assume that technology evolves according to an AR(1) process and that capital depreciates at rate  $\delta$ .

- (a) Set up the household's and the firm's problem and find the first-order conditions.[Hint: Households and firms take aggregate consumption as given.]
- (b) Find the steady state competitive equilibrium for this economy. [Hint: When choosing individual consumption, the household is not taking into account that aggregate consumption positively influences output.]
- (c) Find the optimal (i.e., welfare maximizing) steady state in this economy. Interpret the difference to the equilibrium steady state. [Hint: A planner maximizes utility subject to the constraint of facing the production function.]

(d) Set  $\sigma = \nu = 1$ . Find a tax or subsidy on consumption so that the competitive equilibrium is given by the optimal steady state.

## **Computational Part**

- (e) Use your calibration for the Canadian economy and set  $\omega = 0.5$ . Using DYNARE, find the impulse response functions for (Y, C, K, N) to a technology shock  $z_t$ . Compare your impulse response functions to the case  $\omega = 0$  and interpret the differences.
- 3. Consider again the standard RBC model where preferences are given by

$$u(c,n) = \log c + \frac{(1-n)^{1-\nu}}{1-\nu}.$$

The production decision involves now also a decision about the utilization of capital. More specifically, output is given by

$$Y_t = z_t \left( u_t K_t \right)^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha}$$

where  $u_t \in [0, 1]$  is the rate at which capital is utilized.

Assume further that the rate of capital utilization is costly as it influences the law of motion of capital according to

$$K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta_t)K_t + X_t$$
$$\delta_t = \delta u_t^{\theta}$$

- (a) Derive the FOC describing the optimal rate of capital utilization.
- (b) Suppose that θ > α and derive the production function with the optimal rate of capital utilization.
- (c) What happens if  $\theta \leq \alpha$ ?
- (d) Find the steady state for this economy.

## Computational Part

- (e) Suppose  $\theta = 0.6$ . Use a calibrated version of the RBC model to derive the IRFs for (y, c, n, k, u, x) in response to a technology shock.
- (f) Compare your IRFs with the IRFs of the standard RBC model. [Hint: For  $\theta = 0$ , we have  $\delta_t = \delta$  and  $u_t = 1$ .] Interpret your results in terms of amplification and persistence of the shock.
- (g) Repeat the exercise assuming that labour is indivisible so that the utility function is now given by

$$u(c,n) = \log c + B(1-n)$$

Note that the parameter B needs to be calibrated appropriately. Interpret your results in terms of amplication and persistence of the shock.

4. Consider the following VAR model for real taxes  $\tau$ , real government expenditure g and real output  $y_t$  given by

$$B_0 z_t = B_1 z_{t-1} + \dots B_p z_{t-p} + w_t.$$

Assume that all variables are detrended for example by using log-difference.

- (a) Transform the model into a reduced form VAR.
- (b) Use quarterly Canadian data to estimate the reduced form VAR on the vector  $(\tau_t, g_t, y_t)$ . When doing so, determine the optimal lag structure from the data.
- (c) Set up an identification strategy for the VAR by putting restrictions only on the matrix  $B_0$ . [Hint: Normalize the diagonal elements of this matrix to 1.]
- (d) Estimate a structural VAR based on your identification strategy. Show your impulse response functions for a shock to each of the three variables.
- (e) Interpret your results. In particular, what do we learn about the short-run and the long-run impact of fiscal policy on output?