ECON 442 Limits on Gov't Spending

Winter 2015

Queen's University - ECON 442

Gov't Budget Constraint

Gov't Spending must equal revenue.

$$G(t) + B(t-1) = \frac{B(t)}{1+r(t)} + N_t \tau_1(t) + N_{t-1} \tau_2(t)$$

The current deficit in per-capita terms $\Delta(t)$ is given by

$$g(t) - \tau_1(t) - \frac{1}{n}\tau_2(t) = \frac{b(t)}{1+r(t)} - \frac{b(t-1)}{n}$$

$$g(t) - \tau_1(t) - \frac{1}{n}\tau_2(t) = [b(t) - b(t-1)] + \frac{n-1}{n}b(t-1) - \frac{r(t)}{1+r(t)}b(t)$$

Sustainability of debt depends on interest rates vs. the growth rate of the economy.

A First Pass ...

We have seen that constant per-capita debt is only an equilibrium whenever the equilibrium interest rate satisfies 1 + r = n.

Hence, the government needs to have no primary deficit

$$g(t) - \tau_1(t) - \frac{1}{n}\tau_2(t) - [b(t) - b(t-1)] = \Delta(t) = 0$$

for all periods.

More generally, a primary deficit is possibly whenever 1 + r < n, otherwise the gov't needs to run primary surpluses to keep per-capita debt constant.

Gov't Intertemporal Budget Constaint

Consider the budget constraint every period and substitute out debt.

$$g_{0} + \frac{b_{-1}}{n} = \tau_{0} + \frac{b_{0}}{1+r_{0}}$$

$$g_{0} + \frac{b_{-1}}{n} = \tau_{0} + \frac{n}{1+r_{0}} \left(\tau_{1} - g_{1} + \frac{b_{1}}{1+r_{1}}\right)$$

$$(g - \tau) \left(1 + \left(\frac{n}{1+r}\right)\right) + \frac{b_{-1}}{n} = \left(\frac{n}{1+r}\right)^{2} \left(\tau - g + \frac{b_{2}}{1+r}\right)$$

$$(g - \tau) \left(1 + \left(\frac{n}{1+r}\right) + \left(\frac{n}{1+r}\right)^{2} + \dots\right) + \frac{b_{-1}}{n} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\frac{n}{1+r}\right)^{t} \frac{b_{t}}{1+r_{0}}$$

Note that we have assumed that all variables (except debt) stay constant.

Gov't Intertemporal Budget Constraint

Note that as $b_t \to y_1 - \tau_1$, interest rates need to go to infinity.

Hence, assume that n < (1+r), so that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\frac{n}{1+r}\right)^t \frac{b_t}{1+r} = 0$, since b_t is bounded.

Intertemporal Budget Constraint for the Gov't becomes simply

$$g = \tau + b_{-1} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

Note that the last term is negative:

- if $b_{-1} < 0$, the government has initial assets
- if $b_{-1} > 0$, the government has initial debt

Some Unpleasant Arithmetic

Scenario 1: Permanent Budget Deficit

- $\blacktriangleright \ \Delta = g \tau > 0$
- ▶ Need to finance it from initial assets.
- ▶ Issuing ever increasing debt (in per-capita terms) cannot do it.

Scenario 2: g increases permanently.

▶ Government will be forced – eventually – to increase taxes.

Scenario 3: Temporary Spending Increase

• Assume
$$b_{-1} = 0$$
 or $g = \tau$

- At t = 0, increase in spending for one time, i.e. $g_1 = (1 + \Delta)g$.
- ▶ Issue bond instead and roll it over indefinitely.

Sequence of debt levels:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_0}{1+r} &= \Delta g\\ \frac{b_1}{1+r} &= \left(\frac{1+r}{n}\right) \Delta g\\ \frac{b_t}{1+r} &= \left(\frac{1+r}{n}\right)^t \Delta g \end{aligned}$$

Hence: $\frac{b_t}{1+r} \to \infty$, whenever 1 + r > n.

<u>Conclusion</u>: need to raise τ eventually.

Queen's University - ECON 442

Ricardian Equivalence

Consider a feasible gov't policy $(\tau_1(t), \tau_2(t), b(t))$.

Thus:

$$\frac{1}{n}b(t-1) = \frac{b(t)}{1+r(t)} + \tau_1(t) + \frac{1}{n}\tau_2(t)$$
$$\frac{1}{n}b(t) = \frac{b(t+1)}{1+r(t+1)} + \tau_1(t+1) + \frac{1}{n}\tau_2(t+1)$$

where r(t) and r(t+1) are equilibrium interest rates.

Now change the debt level and alter taxes for generation t as follows:

•
$$\hat{b}(t) \neq b(t)$$

• $\hat{\tau}_1(t) = \tau_1(t) + \frac{b(t) - \hat{b}(t)}{1 + r(t)}$
• $\hat{\tau}_2(t+1) = \tau_2(t+1) - \left(b(t) - \hat{b}(t)\right)$

Fix interest rates at r(t).

The new policy is feasible in both periods.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n}b(t-1) &= \frac{\hat{b}(t)}{1+r(t)} + \tau_1(t) + \frac{b(t) - \hat{b}(t)}{1+r(t)} + \frac{1}{n}\tau_2(t) \\ &\frac{1}{n}\hat{b}(t) &= \frac{b(t+1)}{1+r(t+1)} + \tau_1(t+1) + \frac{1}{n}\left(\tau_2(t+1) - b(t) + \hat{b}(t)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Neither the FONC, nor the NPV of taxes changes for generation t.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The timing of taxes does not matter, unless the time path of debt is altered so that there is redistribution between different generations.