

# ECON 442

Does  $r \gg g$  matter?

Winter 2015

## What does Economic Theory Tell us about $r$ vs. $g$ ?

Key idea: savings and investment are endogenous.

Two households: capital owners and workers.

Workers are hand-to-mouth, do not save and simply consume their wages.

Capital owners have preferences given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln(C_t)$$

Production function

$$Y_t = F(K_t, L_t)$$

## A Detrended Economy

Assume that all variables grow at a constant rate  $g$ .

$$C_t = (1 + g)^t c_t$$

$$Y_t = (1 + g)^t y_t$$

$$K_t = (1 + g)^t k_t$$

Then, the capital owners solve the problem

$$\max_{k_{t+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln((1 + g)^t c_t)$$

subject to

$$(1 + g)k_{t+1} + c_t = k_t + (r_t - \tau)k_t$$

where  $\tau$  is a tax on capital income.

Workers just consume their income without saving so that

$$w_t = y_t - r_t k_t + \tau k_t.$$

## Long-run relationship between $r$ and $g$

Taking a FOC condition yields

$$\frac{(1+g)c_{t+1}}{\beta c_t} = (1+r_t - \tau)$$

In steady state, we have

$$\frac{(1+g)}{\beta} = (1+r - \tau)$$

where  $\rho$  is the rate of time preference.

### Conclusion:

Interest rates are endogenous and given by

$$r \approx \rho + g + \tau$$

with consumption being given by

$$c \approx (r - \tau - g)k$$

## Taxing Capital a Bad Idea?

Suppose we increase  $\tau$ .

Then,  $r$  increases 1-1, so that  $r - \tau$  is constant.

However,  $r = f'(k)$  and capital will fall.

Hence, both  $c$  and  $w$  fall.

But,  $w/c$  is increasing in  $\tau$  for a concave production function.

### Conclusion:

Taxing capital might decrease “inequality”, but it will also decrease output.

## Proof for $\partial w/\partial \tau < 0$

Note that  $(r - \tau)$  is constant when  $\tau$  changes and  $\partial k/\partial \tau < 0$ .

Also note that

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau} = \alpha A k^{\alpha-1} l^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} = \alpha \frac{y}{k} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau} - (r - \tau) \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} \\ &= \alpha \frac{y}{k} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} - (r - \tau) \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} \\ &= \left( \alpha \frac{y}{k} - (r - \tau) \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha y/k = r$ .

## Proof for $\partial(w/c)/\partial\tau > 0$

Relative consumption is defined by

$$\frac{w}{c} = \frac{y - rk + \tau k}{(r - \tau - g)k}$$

The change is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & (r - \tau - g)k \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau} - (r - \tau) \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} \right) - (y - rk + \tau k)(r - \tau - g) \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} = \\ & = k \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau} - y \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} \\ & = (\alpha - 1)y \frac{\partial k}{\partial \tau} \\ & > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha < 1$ .

## Inequality and Pareto Distributions

Suppose we have

$$\mathcal{P}[\text{Age} > x] = e^{-dx}$$

and for income

$$y = e^{\mu x}.$$

Inequality of income is given by a Pareto distribution.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}[\text{Income} > y] &= \mathcal{P}[\text{Age} > x(y)] = \mathcal{P}[\text{Age} > \frac{1}{\mu} \ln y] \\ &= e^{-\frac{d}{\mu} \ln y} = y^{-\frac{d}{\mu}} \\ &= y^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

Pareto coefficient  $\eta < 1$  measures inequality.

Example: top  $a\%$  of  $b\%$  get a fraction of income for all of  $b$  equal to

$$S(a, b) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\eta-1}$$



# A Theory of Wealth Inequality

We assume that

- ▶ technology grows at rate  $g$
- ▶ population grows at rate  $n$
- ▶ people die at rate  $d$

Suppose wealth evolves according to

$$a_t(x) = a_{t-x}(0)e^{(r-\tau-\alpha)x}$$

where  $x$  is age,  $a_{t-x}(0)$  is inherited wealth and a fraction  $\alpha$  of assets is consumed.

Inherited wealth is given by

$$a_{t-x}(0) = \bar{a}k_{t-x} = \bar{a}k_t e^{-gx}$$

The wealth distribution at time  $t$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}[\text{Wealth} > a] &= \mathcal{P}[\text{Age} > x(a)] \\ &= e^{-(n+d)x(a)} \\ &= \left( \frac{a}{\bar{a}k_t} \right)^{-\frac{n+d}{r-g-\tau-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $n + d$  is the birth rate in the economy.

Pareto coefficient is given by

$$\eta = \frac{r - g - \tau - \alpha}{n + d}$$

### Conclusion:

The Pareto coefficient (i.e. inequality) rises with  $r - g$  and falls with  $n + d$ .