

# ECON 421

## The OG Model

Queen's University

Winter 2015

## Goal of this Course

- ▶ What are the effects of public policy on the aggregate economy?
- ▶ Consider several areas: fiscal policy, monetary policy, social security, environmental policy, etc.
- ▶ Why is there a need for public policy in the first place?
- ▶ What are the limits of these policies?

Issue: need a model where policy plays a role.

For the most part we will rely on the **Overlapping Generations Model**.

## The OG Model

Time  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$N_t$  people are born of generation  $t$ .

Alive for two periods,  $t$  and  $t + 1$ .

	$t = 0$	$t = 1$	$t = 2$	$t = 3$
Gen. -	X			
Gen. 0	X	X		
Gen. 1		X	X	
Gen. 2			X	X
Gen. 3				X

Endowments:

- ▶  $y_t(t)$  when young
- ▶  $y_t(t + 1)$  when old

Consumption:

- ▶  $c_t(t)$  when young
- ▶  $c_t(t + 1)$  when old

Preferences:

- ▶  $u(c_t(t), c_t(t + 1))$

Initial generation:

- ▶  $N_{-1}$  people with  $y_{-1}(0)$  endowment
- ▶  $u(c_{-1}(0))$  preferences

Assumptions:

- ▶  $N_t = n^t N_0$
- ▶ either  $u(c_t(t)) + \beta u(c_t(t+1))$ , where  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  ...
- ▶ ... or  $\lambda u(c_t(t)) + (1 - \lambda)u(c_t(t+1))$ , where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$
- ▶  $u' > 0$  and  $u'' < 0$

There is a **private incentive** to trade over time.

But one needs a technology to do so.

There is a **social incentive** to trade over time.

But one needs coordination to do so.

## Pareto Optimal Allocations

Definition: An allocation is Pareto-optimal if (i) it is feasible and (ii) there is no other allocation that makes everybody at least well off and someone better off (pareto-dominates).

Allocation:  $c = (c_1(0), (c_0(0), c_0(1)), (c_1(1), c_1(2)), \dots)$

Stationary Allocation:

- ▶ independent of time  $t$
- ▶ same across all generations
- ▶  $c_t(t) = c_1$  and  $c_t(t + 1) = c_2$  for all  $t$

Feasible Allocation:

$$N_t c_t(t) + N_{t-1} c_{t-1}(t) \leq N_t y_t(t) + N_{t-1} y_{t-1}(t) \text{ for all } t$$

With stationarity:

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n} = y_1 + \frac{y_2}{n}$$

Allocation  $\tilde{c}$  dominates allocation  $c$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tilde{c}_t(t)) + \beta u(\tilde{c}_t(t+1)) &\geq u(c_t(t)) + \beta u(c_t(t+1)) \text{ for all } t \\ u(\tilde{c}_t(t)) + \beta u(\tilde{c}_t(t+1)) &> u(c_t(t)) + \beta u(c_t(t+1)) \text{ for some } t \end{aligned}$$

**Important!** The initial old generation matters too

$$\tilde{c}_{-1}(0) \geq c_{-1}(0)$$

## The Set of Feasible Allocation

$$\begin{aligned} N_t c_t(t) + N_{t-1} c_{t-1}(t) &\leq N_t y_t(t) + N_{t-1} y_{t-1}(t) \equiv Y_t \\ N_{t+1} c_{t+1}(t+1) + N_t c_t(t+1) &\leq N_{t+1} y_{t+1}(t+1) + N_t y_t(t+1) \equiv Y_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

With stationary endowments we have

$$Y_{t+1} = nY_t$$

The set of per-capita (gen.  $t$ ) feasible allocations is then constant across time

$$c_t(t) + \frac{c_{t-1}(t)}{n} = \frac{Y_t}{N_t} \equiv y$$

With stationary allocations, the frontier of the set of feasible allocation is given by

$$c_2 = n(y - c_1)$$



## Indifference Curves

Initial old generation only likes period 2 consumption.

Other generations, fix utility level  $u(c_t(t), c_t(t + 1)) = \bar{u}$ .

Slope of indifference curves are given by

$$\begin{aligned}u'_1 dc_t(t) + u'_2 dc_t(t + 1) &= 0 \\ \frac{dc_t(t + 1)}{dc_t(t)} &= -\frac{u'_1}{u'_2}\end{aligned}$$

For time-separable utility function and stationarity this yields

$$\frac{dc_2}{dc_1} = -\frac{u'(c_1)}{\beta u'(c_2)}$$

## Conditions for Pareto-Optimality

(i) Allocation needs to be on the boundary of the feasible set.

(ii)  $MRS = MRT$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned}c_t(t+1) &= n(y_t - c_t(t)) \\ -\frac{u'(c_t(t))}{\beta u'(c_t(t+1))} &\leq -n\end{aligned}$$

ADD GRAPH

## How can we compute **the** stationary PO allocation?

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2)$$

subject to

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n} = y$$

Lagrangian/FOC:

$$u'(c_1) - \lambda = 0$$

$$\beta u'(c_2) - \frac{\lambda}{n} = 0$$

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{n} = y$$

Hence:

$$\frac{u'(c_1)}{\beta u'(c_2)} = n$$

$$c_1 = n(y - c_2)$$