## Stata 11 Tutorial 6

TOPIC: Representing Multi-Category Categorical Variables with Dummy Variable Regressors

*DATA*: wage1\_econ452.dta (a *Stata*-format dataset)

TASKS: Stata 11 Tutorial 6 deals with issues concerning the interpretation, testing and graphical representation of the conditional/marginal effects of multicategory categorical variables and with differences in the conditional/marginal effects of multi-category categorical variables between two mutually exclusive population subgroups (e.g., males and females). It illustrates these matters in terms of a simple ln-wage regression model for male and female employees in which the multi-categorical variable of interest is industry of employment, as represented by a seven-category explanatory variable.

• The *Stata* commands that constitute the primary subject of this tutorial are:

**regress** Used to perform OLS estimation of multiple linear regression

models.

**lincom** Used after estimation to compute linear combinations of

coefficient estimates and associated test statistics.

**test** Used to compute Wald F-tests of linear coefficient restrictions.

with **notest** and **accumulate** options.

**return list** Used to display all temporarily saved results from the most recent

**test** or **lincom** command.

**graph bar** Used to create bar graphs of the conditional/marginal effects of

a multi-category categorical variable in linear regression models.

**graph export** Exports the graph currently displayed in the Graph window to

a file in the current *Stata* working directory.

• No **Stata statistical functions** are used in this tutorial.

**NOTE:** Stata commands are case sensitive. All Stata command names must be typed in the Command window in *lower case* letters.

### □ Preparing for Your *Stata* Session

Before beginning your *Stata* session, use Windows Explorer to copy the *Stata*-format dataset **wage1\_econ452.dta** to the *Stata working directory* on the C:-drive or D:-drive of the computer at which you are working.

- On the computers in Dunning 350, the default *Stata* working directory is usually C:\data.
- On the computers in MC B111, the default *Stata* working directory is usually D:\courses.

#### □ Start Your Stata Session

<u>To start your Stata session</u>, double-click on the **Stata icon** on the Windows desktop or in the **Start** menu under **Programs**.

After you double-click the *Stata* icon, you will see the familiar screen of four *Stata* windows.

# □ Record Your *Stata* Session -- log using

<u>To record your Stata session</u>, including all the *Stata* commands you enter and the results (output) produced by these commands, make a text-format .log file named **452tutorial6.log**. To open (begin) the log file **452tutorial6.log**, enter in the Command window:

#### log using 452tutorial6.log

This command opens a plain text-format (ASCII) file called **452tutorial6.log** in the current *Stata* working directory.

*Note:* It is important to include the **.log** file extension when opening a log file; if you do not, your log file will be in smcl format, a format that only *Stata* can read. Once you have opened the **452tutorial6.log** file, a copy of all the commands you enter during your *Stata* session and of all the results they produce is recorded in that **452tutorial6.log** file.

#### □ Record Only Your Stata Commands -- cmdlog using

<u>To record only the Stata commands you type during your Stata session</u>, you can use the Stata cmdlog using command. To start (open) the command log file **452tutorial6.txt**, enter in the Command window:

cmdlog using 452tutorial6

This command opens a plain text-format (ASCII) file called **452tutorial6.txt** in the current *Stata* working directory. All commands you enter during your *Stata* session are recorded in this file.

## □ Loading a *Stata*-Format Dataset into *Stata* – use

Be certain that you have downloaded the *Stata*-format dataset **wage1\_econ452.dta** from the ECON 452 course web site, and have placed it in the *Stata* working directory.

To check that the *Stata*-format dataset 'auto1.dta' is in the current *Stata* working directory of the computer at which you are working, type in the Command window:

dir wage1\_econ452.\*

You should see in the *Stata* Results window the filename 'wage1\_econ452.dta'.

<u>To load, or read, into memory the Stata-format dataset auto1.dta</u>, type in the Command window:

use wage1\_econ452

This command loads into memory the *Stata*-format dataset wage1\_econ452.dta.

<u>To summarize the contents of the current dataset</u>, use the **describe** and **summarize** commands. Type in the Command window the following commands:

describe summarize

### **☐** Model 1 – Different Intercepts for Male and Female Employees

The dataset **wage1\_econ452.dta** contains a **binary indicator** (**dummy**) **variable** *female*<sub>i</sub> that distinguishes between female and male workers. The *female indicator*, **or** *dummy*, **variable** is defined as follows:

```
female<sub>i</sub> = 1 if the i-th worker is female
= 0 if the i-th worker is male
```

• To see for yourself how the dummy variable *female*<sub>i</sub> is coded, as well as how many workers in the sample are male and how many are female, enter the following commands:

```
codebook female
tab1 female
summarize female, detail
```

In this section, we estimate by OLS a regression model for log hourly wages that constrains all the regression coefficients to be the same for female and male employees. Write the **population regression equation for Model 1** as:

$$\ln(\text{wage}_{i}) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\text{ed}_{i} + \beta_{2}\exp_{i} + \beta_{3}\exp_{i}^{2} + \beta_{4}\text{ind}2_{i} + \beta_{5}\text{ind}3_{i} + \beta_{6}\text{ind}4_{i} + \beta_{7}\text{ind}5_{i} + \beta_{8}\text{ind}6_{i} + \beta_{9}\text{ind}7_{i} + \delta_{0}\text{female}_{i} + u_{i}$$
(1)

Regression equation (1) allows only the intercept coefficient to differ between male and female workers; it restricts all the slope coefficients  $\beta_j$  (j=1,...,9) to be equal or identical for male and female employees. The male intercept coefficient is  $\beta_0$ , and the female intercept coefficient is  $\beta_0 + \delta_0$ ; the slope coefficient of the female indicator variable female<sub>i</sub> is therefore the female-male difference in intercept coefficients, or equivalently the female-male difference in conditional mean ln-wages for given values of years of completed schooling, potential work experience, and industry of employment.

• First, generate the regressand (or dependent variable) ln(wage<sub>i</sub>) in Model 1. Enter the commands:

```
generate lnwage = ln(wage)
summarize wage lnwage
```

• Estimate by OLS regression equation (1) on the full sample of 526 observations for both female and male employees. Enter the **regress** command:

regress lnwage ed exp expsq ind2 ind3 ind4 ind5 ind6 ind7 female

You will want to refer back to the OLS estimates of Model 1 produced by this **regress** command, as Model 1 represents the benchmark for all subsequent models in this tutorial.

### □ Model 2 – Different Industry Effects for Male and Female Employees

In this section, we consider a regression model that allows not only the intercept coefficient, but also the set of six industry slope coefficients, to differ between male and female employees. In other words, Model 2 allows for *different* industry effects for *male* and *female* workers, but restricts the marginal effects of the continuous explanatory variables  $ed_i$  and  $exp_i$  to be equal for male and female workers. Model 2 adds to the regressors of Model 1 a set of interactions of the female indicator  $female_i$  with each of the six included industry dummy variables; these female-industry interaction variables are defined as follows:

```
f<sub>i</sub>ind2<sub>i</sub> = female<sub>i</sub>ind2<sub>i</sub>

f<sub>i</sub>ind3<sub>i</sub> = female<sub>i</sub>ind3<sub>i</sub>

f<sub>i</sub>ind4<sub>i</sub> = female<sub>i</sub>ind4<sub>i</sub>

f<sub>i</sub>ind5<sub>i</sub> = female<sub>i</sub>ind5<sub>i</sub>

f<sub>i</sub>ind6<sub>i</sub> = female<sub>i</sub>ind6<sub>i</sub>

f<sub>i</sub>ind7<sub>i</sub> = female<sub>i</sub>ind7<sub>i</sub>
```

The population regression equation for Model 2 can be written as:

$$ln(wage_{i}) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2} \exp_{i} + \beta_{3} \exp_{i}^{2} + \beta_{4}ind2_{i} + \beta_{5}ind3_{i} + \beta_{6}ind4_{i} 
+ \beta_{7}ind5_{i} + \beta_{8}ind6_{i} + \beta_{9}ind7_{i} + \delta_{0}female_{i} + \delta_{4}f_{i}ind2_{i} + \delta_{5}f_{i}ind3_{i} 
+ \delta_{6}f_{i}ind4_{i} + \delta_{7}f_{i}ind5_{i} + \delta_{8}f_{i}ind6_{i} + \delta_{0}f_{i}ind7_{i} + u_{i}$$
(2)

• Before estimating Model 2 by OLS, you will need to create the female-industry interaction variables. Enter the following **generate** commands:

```
generate find2 = female*ind2
generate find3 = female*ind3
generate find4 = female*ind4
generate find5 = female*ind5
generate find6 = female*ind6
generate find7 = female*ind7
```

◆ Interpretation of Model 2: Industry base group is industry 1

Before proceeding to estimation of Model 2, we should make sure that we understand how to interpret the industry coefficients in Model 2.

The **population regression equation for Model 2** can be written as:

$$ln(wage_{i}) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2} \exp_{i} + \beta_{3} \exp_{i}^{2} + \beta_{4}ind2_{i} + \beta_{5}ind3_{i} + \beta_{6}ind4_{i} 
+ \beta_{7}ind5_{i} + \beta_{8}ind6_{i} + \beta_{9}ind7_{i} + \delta_{0}female_{i} + \delta_{4}f_{i}ind2_{i} + \delta_{5}f_{i}ind3_{i} 
+ \delta_{6}f_{i}ind4_{i} + \delta_{7}f_{i}ind5_{i} + \delta_{8}f_{i}ind6_{i} + \delta_{9}f_{i}ind7_{i} + u_{i}$$
(2)

• The **population regression function for Model 2.1** is obtained by taking the conditional expectation of regression equation (2.1) for any given values of the three explanatory variables ed<sub>i</sub>, exp<sub>i</sub>, ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, ind7<sub>i</sub> and female<sub>i</sub>:

$$\begin{split} E(\ln(wage_{i})|ed_{i}, exp_{i}, ind2,..., ind7, female_{i}) \\ &= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2} \exp_{i} + \beta_{3} \exp_{i}^{2} + \beta_{4}ind2_{i} + \beta_{5}ind3_{i} + \beta_{6}ind4_{i} \\ &+ \beta_{7}ind5_{i} + \beta_{8}ind6_{i} + \beta_{9}ind7_{i} + \delta_{0}female_{i} + \delta_{4}f_{i}ind2_{i} + \delta_{5}f_{i}ind3_{i} \\ &+ \delta_{6}f_{i}ind4_{i} + \delta_{7}f_{i}ind5_{i} + \delta_{8}f_{i}ind6_{i} + \delta_{0}f_{i}ind7_{i} \end{split}$$

• The *male* population regression function implied by Model 2 is obtained by setting the *female* indicator variable female<sub>i</sub> = 0 in  $(2^*)$ :

$$E(ln(wage_i) | ed_i, exp_i, ind2,..., ind7, female_i = 0)$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \operatorname{ed}_i + \beta_2 \exp_i + \beta_3 \exp_i^2 + \beta_4 \operatorname{ind}_i^2 + \beta_5 \operatorname{ind}_i^3 + \beta_6 \operatorname{ind}_i^4 + \beta_7 \operatorname{ind}_i^5 + \beta_8 \operatorname{ind}_i^6 + \beta_9 \operatorname{ind}_i^7$$

$$(2m)$$

# The *male* industry intercept coefficients are:

```
Industry 1 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0

Industry 2 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0 + \beta_4

Industry 3 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0 + \beta_5

Industry 4 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0 + \beta_6

Industry 5 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0 + \beta_7

Industry 6 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0 + \beta_8

Industry 7 intercept coefficient for males = \beta_0 + \beta_9
```

The set of **industry effects for male workers in Model 2** – i.e., the inter-industry differences in conditional mean ln-wages for male employees with given values of ed and exp – are given by the male slope coefficients of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> that are included as regressors in Model 2. From the industry intercept coefficients for males given above, it follows that these male industry effects are:

```
\beta_4 = the industry 2 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males; \beta_5 = the industry 3 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males; \beta_6 = the industry 4 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males; \beta_7 = the industry 5 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males; \beta_8 = the industry 6 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males; \beta_9 = the industry 7 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males.
```

• The *female* population regression function implied by Model 2 is obtained by setting the *female* indicator variable female<sub>i</sub> = 1 in  $(2^*)$ :

$$\begin{split} E(\ln(wage_{i}) \mid ed_{i}, exp_{i}, ind2,..., ind7, female_{i} = 1) \\ &= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2} \exp_{i} + \beta_{3} \exp_{i}^{2} + \beta_{4}ind2_{i} + \beta_{5}ind3_{i} + \beta_{6}ind4_{i} \\ &+ \beta_{7}ind5_{i} + \beta_{8}ind6_{i} + \beta_{9}ind7_{i} + \delta_{0} + \delta_{4}ind2_{i} + \delta_{5}ind3_{i} \\ &+ \delta_{6}ind4_{i} + \delta_{7}ind5_{i} + \delta_{8}ind6_{i} + \delta_{9}ind7_{i} \end{split}$$

$$= (\beta_{0} + \delta_{0}) + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2} \exp_{i} + \beta_{3} \exp_{i}^{2} + (\beta_{4} + \delta_{4})ind2_{i} + (\beta_{5} + \delta_{5})ind3_{i} \\ &+ (\beta_{6} + \delta_{6})ind4_{i} + (\beta_{7} + \delta_{7})ind5_{i} + (\beta_{8} + \delta_{8})ind6_{i} + (\beta_{9} + \delta_{9})ind7_{i} \end{split} \tag{2f}$$

### The *female* industry intercept coefficients are:

```
Industry 1 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0

Industry 2 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + \beta_4 + \delta_4

Industry 3 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + \beta_5 + \delta_5

Industry 4 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + \beta_6 + \delta_6

Industry 5 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + \beta_7 + \delta_7

Industry 6 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + \beta_8 + \delta_8

Industry 7 intercept coefficient for females = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + \beta_9 + \delta_9
```

The set of **industry effects for female workers in Model 2** – i.e., the interindustry differences in conditional mean ln-wages for female employees with given values of ed and exp – are given by the **female slope coefficients of the industry dummy variables** ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> that are included as regressors in Model 2. From the industry intercept coefficients for females given above, it follows that these female industry effects are:

```
\beta_4 + \delta_4 = the industry 2 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females; \beta_5 + \delta_5 = the industry 3 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females; \beta_6 + \delta_6 = the industry 4 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females; \beta_7 + \delta_7 = the industry 5 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females; \beta_8 + \delta_8 = the industry 6 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females; \beta_9 + \delta_9 = the industry 7 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females.
```

• The *female-male* differences in industry effects implied by Model 2 are obtained by subtracting the male industry coefficients ( $\beta_4$ ,  $\beta_5$ ,  $\beta_6$ ,  $\beta_7$ ,  $\beta_8$  and  $\beta_9$ ) from the corresponding female industry coefficients ( $\beta_4 + \delta_4$ ,  $\beta_5 + \delta_5$ ,  $\beta_6 + \delta_6$ ,  $\beta_7 + \delta_7$ ,  $\beta_8 + \delta_8$  and  $\beta_9 + \delta_9$ ) – i.e., by the slope coefficients  $\delta_4$ ,  $\delta_5$ ,  $\delta_6$ ,  $\delta_7$ ,  $\delta_8$ , and  $\delta_9$  of the female-industry interaction terms  $f_i$ ind2<sub>i</sub>,  $f_i$ ind3<sub>i</sub>,  $f_i$ ind4<sub>i</sub>,  $f_i$ ind5<sub>i</sub>,  $f_i$ ind6<sub>i</sub>, and  $f_i$ ind7<sub>i</sub> in Model 2.

#### For industry 2:

 $\beta_4 + \delta_4$  = the industry 2 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females

 $\beta_4$  = the industry 2 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males

#### Therefore

 $\delta_4$  = the industry 2 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females minus the industry 2 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males

#### Similarly, for industries 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7:

- $\delta_5$  = the industry 3 industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females minus the industry 3 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males
- $\delta_6$  = the industry 4 industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females minus the industry 4 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males
- $\delta_7$  = the industry 5 industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females minus the industry 5 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males
- $\delta_8$  = the industry 6 industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females minus the industry 6 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males

 $\delta_9$  = the industry 7 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for females minus the industry 7 – industry 1 difference in mean ln-wages for males

Note that the conditional effects of industry on mean ln-wages are identical or equal for male and female workers if the regression coefficients  $\delta_4$ ,  $\delta_5$ ,  $\delta_6$ ,  $\delta_7$ ,  $\delta_8$  and  $\delta_9$  are jointly equal to zero: i.e., if  $\delta_4 = \delta_5 = \delta_6 = \delta_7 = \delta_8 = \delta_9 = 0$ , or if  $\delta_j = 0$  for all j = 4, 5, ..., 9.

#### ♦ OLS Estimation of Model 2

• Estimate Model 2 by OLS on the full sample of 526 female and male employees. Enter the **regress** command:

regress lnwage ed exp expsq ind2 ind3 ind4 ind5 ind6 ind7 female find2 find3 find4 find5 find6 find7

• Use **lincom** commands to compute and test the *female intercept* **coefficient estimate** and the *female* **coefficient estimates for the** *industry* **dummy variables** ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> in Model 2. Enter the following series of **lincom** commands:

```
lincom _b[_cons] + _b[female] = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\delta}_0

lincom _b[ind2] + _b[find2] = \hat{\beta}_4 + \hat{\delta}_4

lincom _b[ind3] + _b[find3] = \hat{\beta}_5 + \hat{\delta}_5

lincom _b[ind4] + _b[find4] = \hat{\beta}_6 + \hat{\delta}_6

lincom _b[ind5] + _b[find5] = \hat{\beta}_7 + \hat{\delta}_7

lincom _b[ind6] + _b[find6] = \hat{\beta}_8 + \hat{\delta}_8

lincom _b[ind7] + _b[find7] = \hat{\beta}_9 + \hat{\delta}_9
```

#### ◆ Test for Industry Effects in Model 2

We now wish to test for industry effects in Model 2. There are three such tests that should be performed.

# Industry Effects Test 1: Test for industry effects for male employees

Test the null hypothesis of no industry effects for male employees in Model 2. Since industry effects for males in Model 2 are represented by the male slope coefficients  $\beta_4$ ,  $\beta_5$ ,  $\beta_6$ ,  $\beta_7$ ,  $\beta_8$  and  $\beta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>, the null and alternative hypotheses are specified as follows:

$$H_0$$
:  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j = 4, 5, ..., 9$ ; or  $\beta_4 = 0$  and  $\beta_5 = 0$  and  $\beta_6 = 0$  and  $\beta_7 = 0$  and  $\beta_8 = 0$  and  $\beta_9 = 0$   $H_1$ :  $\beta_j \neq 0$   $j = 4, 5, ..., 9$ ; or  $\beta_4 \neq 0$  and/or  $\beta_5 \neq 0$  and/or  $\beta_6 \neq 0$  and/or  $\beta_7 \neq 0$  and/or  $\beta_8 \neq 0$  and/or  $\beta_9 \neq 0$ 

• Use the following **test** command to compute an F-test of the null hypothesis of no industry effects for male employees. Enter the commands:

```
test ind2 ind3 ind4 ind5 ind6 ind7 return list
```

Based on the computed outcome of this test, would you retain or reject the null hypothesis of no industry effects for male workers?

# Industry Effects Test 2: Test for industry effects for female employees

Test the null hypothesis of no industry effects for female employees in Model 2. Since industry effects for females in Model 2 are represented by the female slope coefficients  $\beta_4 + \delta_4$ ,  $\beta_5 + \delta_5$ ,  $\beta_6 + \delta_6$ ,  $\beta_7 + \delta_7$ ,  $\beta_8 + \delta_8$  and  $\beta_9 + \delta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> in the female ln-wage regression function, the null and alternative hypotheses are specified as follows:

H<sub>0</sub>: 
$$\beta_{j} + \delta_{j} = 0$$
 for all  $j = 4, 5, ..., 9$ ; or 
$$\beta_{4} + \delta_{4} = 0 \text{ and } \beta_{5} + \delta_{5} = 0 \text{ and } \beta_{6} + \delta_{6} = 0 \text{ and } \beta_{7} + \delta_{7} = 0$$
 and  $\beta_{8} + \delta_{8} = 0$  and  $\beta_{9} + \delta_{9} = 0$ 

H<sub>1</sub>:  $\beta_{j} + \delta_{j} \neq 0$   $j = 4, 5, ..., 9$ ; or 
$$\beta_{4} + \delta_{4} \neq 0 \text{ and/or } \beta_{5} + \delta_{5} \neq 0 \text{ and/or } \beta_{6} + \delta_{6} \neq 0 \text{ and/or } \beta_{7} + \delta_{7} \neq 0$$
 and/or  $\beta_{8} + \delta_{8} \neq 0$  and/or  $\beta_{9} + \delta_{9} \neq 0$ 

• Use the following series of linked **test** commands to compute an F-test of the null hypothesis of no industry effects for female employees. Enter the commands:

```
test ind2 + find2 = 0, notest
test ind3 + find3 = 0, notest accumulate
test ind4 + find4 = 0, notest accumulate
test ind5 + find5 = 0, notest accumulate
test ind6 + find6 = 0, notest accumulate
test ind7 + find7 = 0, accumulate
return list
```

Note that only the results produced by the last of this sequence of six test commands correspond to the null hypothesis  $H_0$  of no industry effects for female workers. That is why the **notest** option has been specified for the first five test commands in this sequence; the **notest** option simply suppresses the printing of the results of the test command to which it is attached.

Based on the computed outcome of this test, would you retain or reject the null hypothesis of no industry effects for female workers?

# Industry Effects Test 3: Test for female-male differences in industry effects

Test the null hypothesis of no female-male differences in industry effects in Model 2. Since the female-male differences in industry effects in Model 2 are represented by the slope coefficients  $\delta_4$ ,  $\delta_5$ ,  $\delta_6$ ,  $\delta_7$ ,  $\delta_8$  and  $\delta_9$  of the female interactions with the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> in Model 2, the null and alternative hypotheses are specified as follows:

$$H_0$$
:  $\delta_j = 0$  for all  $j = 4, 5, ..., 9$ ; or  $\delta_4 = 0$  and  $\delta_5 = 0$  and  $\delta_6 = 0$  and  $\delta_7 = 0$  and  $\delta_8 = 0$  and  $\delta_9 = 0$   $H_1$ :  $\delta_j \neq 0$   $j = 4, 5, ..., 9$ ; or  $\delta_4 \neq 0$  and/or  $\delta_5 \neq 0$  and/or  $\delta_6 \neq 0$  and/or  $\delta_7 \neq 0$  and/or  $\delta_8 \neq 0$  and/or  $\delta_9 \neq 0$ 

• Use the following **test** command to compute an F-test of the null hypothesis of no female-male differences in industry effects in Model 2, i.e., that industry effects are equal, or identical, for male and female employees. Enter the commands:

test find2 find3 find4 find5 find6 find7 return list

Based on the computed outcome of this test, would you retain or reject the null hypothesis of no industry effects for male workers?

*Note:* If you have correctly computed the foregoing test of no female-male differences in industry effects in Model 2, you will have found that the null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub> is retained at all conventional significance levels. Despite this test outcome, we will, for pedagogical reasons, proceed with learning how *Stata* **graph bar** commands can be used to graphically illustrate the male and female industry effects in Model 2. But bear in mind that this test implies that industry effects in Model 2 do not differ significantly between male and female workers.

# ☐ Model 2 -- Graphing Industry Effects for Male and Female Employees

This section demonstrates how to use the *Stata* **graph bar** command to graphically illustrate the male and female industry effects we have estimated for Model 2.

Before we can use the **graph bar** command, we must save the male and female industry coefficient estimates for Model 2 in a form that can be used in the **graph bar** command.

<u>Step 1</u>: Generate a new variable that contains the values of the male industry coefficient estimates for Model 2; i.e., create a variable that contains the OLS

estimates for Model 2 of the male coefficients for the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> in Model 2.

• Use the following series of **generate** and **replace** commands to create a new variable named **malindcoefs\_model2** that contains the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of the male slope coefficients  $\beta_4$ ,  $\beta_5$ ,  $\beta_6$ ,  $\beta_7$ ,  $\beta_8$  and  $\beta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>. Enter the following series of **generate** and **replace** commands:

```
generate malindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind2] if ind2 == 1 & female ==
0

replace malindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind3] if ind3 == 1 & female == 0

replace malindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind4] if ind4 == 1 & female == 0

replace malindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind5] if ind5 == 1 & female == 0

replace malindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind6] if ind6 == 1 & female == 0

replace malindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind7] if ind7 == 1 & female == 0
```

• Next, we should verify that the variable malindcoefs\_model2 we have just created does indeed contain the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of the male slope coefficients β<sub>4</sub>, β<sub>5</sub>, β<sub>6</sub>, β<sub>7</sub>, β<sub>8</sub> and β<sub>9</sub> of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>. Enter the following series of summarize commands:

```
summarize malindcoefs_model2 if ind2 == 1 & female == 0
summarize malindcoefs_model2 if ind3 == 1 & female == 0
summarize malindcoefs_model2 if ind4 == 1 & female == 0
summarize malindcoefs_model2 if ind5 == 1 & female == 0
summarize malindcoefs_model2 if ind6 == 1 & female == 0
summarize malindcoefs model2 if ind7 == 1 & female == 0
```

• Finally, enter the following **tab1** commands:

```
tab1 industry malindcoefs_model2, missing
tab1 industry malindcoefs_model2
table industry, contents(mean malindcoefs_model2)
```

- <u>Step 2</u>: Generate a new variable that contains the values of the female industry coefficient estimates for Model 2; i.e., create a variable that contains the OLS estimates for Model 2 of the female coefficients for the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> in Model 2.
- Use the following series of **generate** and **replace** commands to create a new variable named **femindcoefs\_model2** that contains the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of the female slope coefficients  $\beta_4 + \delta_4$ ,  $\beta_5 + \delta_5$ ,  $\beta_6 + \delta_6$ ,  $\beta_7 + \delta_7$ ,  $\beta_8 + \delta_8$  and  $\beta_9 + \delta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>. Enter the following series of **generate** and **replace** commands:

```
generate femindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind2] + _b[find2] if ind2 == 1
& female == 1

replace femindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind3] + _b[find3] if ind3 == 1 &
female == 1

replace femindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind4] + _b[find4] if ind4 == 1 &
female == 1

replace femindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind5] + _b[find5] if ind5 == 1 &
female == 1

replace femindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind6] + _b[find6] if ind6 == 1 &
female == 1

replace femindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind7] + _b[find7] if ind7 == 1 &
female == 1
```

• Next, verify that the variable **femindcoefs\_model2** we have just created does indeed contain the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of the female slope coefficients  $\beta_4 + \delta_4$ ,  $\beta_5 + \delta_5$ ,  $\beta_6 + \delta_6$ ,  $\beta_7 + \delta_7$ ,  $\beta_8 + \delta_8$  and  $\beta_9 + \delta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>. Enter the following series of **summarize** commands:

```
summarize femindcoefs_model2 if ind2 == 1 & female == 1
summarize femindcoefs_model2 if ind3 == 1 & female == 1
summarize femindcoefs_model2 if ind4 == 1 & female == 1
summarize femindcoefs_model2 if ind5 == 1 & female == 1
summarize femindcoefs_model2 if ind6 == 1 & female == 1
summarize femindcoefs_model2 if ind7 == 1 & female == 1
```

• Finally, enter the following **tab1** commands:

```
tab1 industry femindcoefs_model2, missing
tab1 industry femindcoefs_model2

table industry, contents(mean femindcoefs_model2)
table industry, contents(mean malindcoefs_model2 mean femindcoefs_model2)
```

<u>Step 3</u>: We can now use the newly created variables malindcoefs\_model2 and femindcoefs\_model2 to draw a bar graph of the estimated male and female industry effects in Model 2.

• Use the following basic **graph bar** command to create a first bar graph of the estimated male and female industry effects in Model 2. Enter the **graph bar** command:

```
graph bar (mean) malindcoefs_model2 femindcoefs_model2 if
industry > 1, over(industry)
```

• We can produce a more complete and informative bar graph by adding to the above **graph bar** command some additional options that label the bars as Male or Female, that provide a title for the vertical y-axis, and that provide a title for the entire graph. Enter the following expanded **graph bar** command:


```
graph bar (mean) malindcoefs_model2 femindcoefs_model2 if
industry > 1, over(industry) legend ( label(1 "Male") label(2
"Female") ) ytitle("mean industry ln-wage difference" "relative
to industry 1 (log points)") title("Mean Ln-Wage Differences
Relative to Industry 1," "Industries 2 to 7 by Gender -- Model
2")
```

Carefully observe how the **graph bar** options **legend**, **ytitle** and **title** have been used to provide a more finished and complete bar graph.

• To export and save this bar graph in Windows Enhanced Metafile format to a file named bargraph1\_tutorial6.emf in the current *Stata* working directory, enter the graph export command:

```
graph export bargraph1 tutorial6.emf
```

♦ Here is what the bar graph you just exported in Windows Enhanced Metafile format to the file bargraph1\_tutorial6.emf looks like when it is inserted into this MS Word document:



• You can produce a *horizontal* version of the bar graph you have just created by using the **graph hbar** command rather than the **graph bar** command. Enter the following expanded **graph hbar** command:


graph hbar (mean) malindcoefs\_model2 femindcoefs\_model2 if
industry > 1, over(industry) legend ( label(1 "Male") label(2
"Female") ) ytitle("mean industry ln-wage difference" "relative
to industry 1 (log points)") title("Mean Ln-Wage Differences
Relative to Industry 1," "Industries 2 to 7 by Gender -- Model
2")

Note that the above command is identical to the **graph bar** command on the previous page except for the use of the **graph hbar** command. Again observe how the **graph hbar** options **legend**, **ytitle** and **title** have been used to provide a more finished and complete bar graph.

• To export and save this bar graph in Windows Enhanced Metafile format to a file named bargraph2\_tutorial6.emf in the current *Stata* working directory, enter the **graph export** command:

#### graph export bargraph2\_tutorial6.emf

♦ Here is what the horizontal bar graph you just exported in Windows Enhanced Metafile format to the file bargraph2\_tutorial6.emf looks like when it is inserted into this MS Word document:



#### A Third Bar Graph Depicting the Industry Effects for Males and Females

Finally, we can use an alternative **graph hbar** command to create a second horizontal bar graph that depicts the industry effects for male and female workers estimated in Model 2. But first we must save the male and female industry coefficient estimates for Model 2 in a form that can be used in the **graph hbar** command.

<u>Step 1</u>: Generate a new variable that contains the values of the male industry coefficient estimates for Model 2; i.e., create a variable that contains the OLS estimates for Model 2 of the male coefficients for the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> in Model 2.

• Use the following series of **generate** and **replace** commands to create a new variable named **allindcoefs\_model2** that contains the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of **both** the male slope coefficients  $\beta_4$ ,  $\beta_5$ ,  $\beta_6$ ,  $\beta_7$ ,  $\beta_8$  and  $\beta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub> and the female slope coefficients  $\beta_4 + \delta_4$ ,  $\beta_5 + \delta_5$ ,  $\beta_6 + \delta_6$ ,  $\beta_7 + \delta_7$ ,  $\beta_8 + \delta_8$  and  $\beta_9 + \delta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>. Enter the following series of **generate** and **replace** commands:

```
generate allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind2] if ind2 == 1 & female == 0

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind3] if ind3 == 1 & female == 0

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind4] if ind4 == 1 & female == 0

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind5] if ind5 == 1 & female == 0

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind6] if ind6 == 1 & female == 0

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind7] if ind7 == 1 & female == 0

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind2] + _b[find2] if ind2 == 1 & female == 1

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind3] + _b[find3] if ind3 == 1 & female == 1

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind4] + _b[find4] if ind4 == 1 & female == 1

replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind5] + _b[find5] if ind5 == 1 & female == 1
```

```
replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind6] + _b[find6] if ind6 == 1 &
female == 1
replace allindcoefs_model2 = _b[ind7] + _b[find7] if ind7 == 1 &
female == 1
```

Next, verify that the variable allindcoefs\_model2 we have just created does indeed contain the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of both the male slope coefficients β<sub>4</sub>, β<sub>5</sub>, β<sub>6</sub>, β<sub>7</sub>, β<sub>8</sub> and β<sub>9</sub> and the female slope coefficients β<sub>4</sub> + δ<sub>4</sub>, β<sub>5</sub> + δ<sub>5</sub>, β<sub>6</sub> + δ<sub>6</sub>, β<sub>7</sub> + δ<sub>7</sub>, β<sub>8</sub> + δ<sub>8</sub> and β<sub>9</sub> + δ<sub>9</sub> of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>. Enter the following series of summarize commands:

```
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind2 == 1 & female == 0
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind3 == 1 & female == 0
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind4 == 1 & female == 0
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind5 == 1 & female == 0
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind6 == 1 & female == 0
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind7 == 1 & female == 0
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind2 == 1 & female == 1
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind3 == 1 & female == 1
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind4 == 1 & female == 1
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind5 == 1 & female == 1
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind6 == 1 & female == 1
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind6 == 1 & female == 1
summarize allindcoefs_model2 if ind6 == 1 & female == 1
```

• Finally, enter the following **tab1** and **tab2** commands:

```
tab1 industry allindcoefs_model2 if female == 0, missing
tab1 industry allindcoefs_model2 if female == 1, missing
tab2 allindcoefs_model2 female, missing
tab2 industry female, missing
```

Careful inspection of the results of these **tab1** and **tab2** commands will enable you to verify that the newly created variable **allindcoefs\_model2** does indeed contain the OLS coefficient estimates for Model 2 of both the male slope coefficients  $\beta_4$ ,  $\beta_5$ ,  $\beta_6$ ,  $\beta_7$ ,  $\beta_8$  and  $\beta_9$  and the female slope coefficients  $\beta_4 + \delta_4$ ,  $\beta_5 + \delta_5$ ,  $\beta_6 + \delta_6$ ,  $\beta_7 + \delta_7$ ,  $\beta_8 + \delta_8$  and  $\beta_9 + \delta_9$  of the industry dummy variables ind2<sub>i</sub>, ind3<sub>i</sub>, ind4<sub>i</sub>, ind5<sub>i</sub>, ind6<sub>i</sub>, and ind7<sub>i</sub>.

<u>Step 2</u>: We can now use the newly created variable allindcoefs\_model2 to draw a horizontal bar graph of the estimated male and female industry effects in Model 2.

• Use the following basic **graph hbar** command to create a first horizontal bar graph of the estimated male and female industry effects in Model 2. Enter the **graph bar** command:

```
graph hbar (mean) allindcoefs_model2 if industry > 1,
over(female) over(industry)
```

Note that the above **graph hbar** command includes both the **over(female)** and the **over(industry)** options.

• We can produce a more complete and informative bar graph by adding to the above **graph hbar** command some additional options that provide a title for the vertical y-axis, and that provide a title for the entire graph. Enter the following expanded **graph hbar** command:


```
graph hbar (mean) allindcoefs_model2 if industry > 1,
over(female) over(industry) ytitle("mean industry ln-wage
difference" "relative to industry 1 (log points)") title("Mean
Ln-Wage Differences Relative to Industry 1," "Industries 2 to 7
by Gender, Model 2", span)
```

Note that the option **span** is specified in the **title()** portion of the above **graph hbar** command; it centers the title over the entire graph rather than over the plot region.

• To export and save this bar graph in Windows Enhanced Metafile format to a file named bargraph3\_tutorial6.emf in the current *Stata* working directory, enter the graph export command:

```
graph export bargraph3_tutorial6.emf
```

♦ Here is what the horizontal bar graph you just exported in Windows Enhanced Metafile format to the file bargraph3\_tutorial6.emf looks like when it is inserted into this MS Word document:



#### □ Preparing to End Your *Stata* Session

Before you end your Stata session, you should do two things.

• First, you will probably want to **save the current dataset**. Enter the following **save** command with the **replace** option to save the current dataset as *Stata*-format dataset **wage1\_econ452.dta**:

```
save wage1_econ452, replace
```

• Second, **close the log file** you have been recording. Enter the command:

log close

• Finally, **close the command log file** you have been recording. Enter the command:

cmdlog close

#### □ End Your *Stata* Session -- exit

• <u>To end your Stata session</u>, use the exit command. Enter the command:

exit or exit, clear

# □ Cleaning Up and Clearing Out

After returning to Windows, you should copy all the files you have used and created during your *Stata* session to your own portable electronic storage device such as a flash memory stick. These files will be found in the *Stata working directory*, which is usually C:\data on the computers in Dunning 350. There are three files you will want to be sure you have: the complete *Stata* log file 452tutorial6.log; the *Stata* command log file 452tutorial6.txt; and the changed *Stata*-format dataset wage1\_econ452.dta. Use the Windows copy command to copy any files you want to keep to your own portable electronic storage device (e.g., a flash memory stick).

Finally, <u>as a courtesy to other users</u> of the computing classroom, please delete all the files you have used or created from the *Stata* working directory.