

ECON 452* -- NOTE 16

Testing Linear Coefficient Restrictions in Probit Models

This note outlines the procedures for testing hypotheses in probit models.

1. The Probit Model

- The *unobserved (or latent) dependent variable* Y_i^* is assumed to be generated by a classical linear regression model of the form

$$Y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + u_i \quad (1)$$

where:

Y_i^* = a continuous real-valued index variable for observation i that is *unobservable*, or *latent*;

$\mathbf{x}_i^T = (1 \ X_{i1} \ X_{i2} \ \cdots \ X_{ik})$, a $1 \times K$ row vector of regressor values for observation i ;

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} = \text{the } K \times 1 \text{ coefficient vector with elements } \beta_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

u_i = an iid $N(0, 1)$ random error term for observation i .

- The **observable outcomes of the binary choice problem** are represented by a **binary indicator variable Y_i** that is related to the unobserved dependent variable Y_i^* as follows:

$$Y_i = 1 \text{ if } Y_i^* > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$Y_i = 0 \text{ if } Y_i^* \leq 0 \quad (2.2)$$

- **The probabilities of the two binary outcomes** are formulated in terms of the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution, as follows:

$$\Pr(Y_i = 1) = \Pr(Y_i^* > 0) = \Phi(x_i^T \beta) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\Pr(Y_i = 0) = \Pr(Y_i^* \leq 0) = 1 - \Phi(x_i^T \beta) \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Phi(x_i^T \beta) = \Pr(z_i \leq x_i^T \beta) \quad \text{where } z_i \sim N(0, 1) \text{ is a standard normal index.}$$

2. Formulation of Linear Equality Restrictions on β

The general hypothesis to be tested is that the probit coefficient vector β satisfies a set of q independent linear restrictions, where $q < K$. We formulate this general hypothesis in vector-matrix form, since this corresponds to the way in which econometric software such as *Stata* is written.

The **null hypothesis H_0** is written in general as:

$$H_0: R\beta = r \Leftrightarrow R\beta - r = \underline{0}$$

The **alternative hypothesis H_1** is written in general as:

$$H_1: R\beta \neq r \Leftrightarrow R\beta - r \neq \underline{0}$$

In H_0 and H_1 above:

R = a $q \times K$ matrix of specified constants;

β = the $K \times 1$ coefficient vector;

r = a $q \times 1$ vector of specified constants;

$\underline{0}$ = a $q \times 1$ null vector, i.e., a $q \times 1$ vector of zeros.

- The $q \times K$ restrictions matrix R takes the form

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{10} & r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1k} \\ r_{20} & r_{21} & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{q0} & r_{q1} & r_{q2} & \cdots & r_{qk} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

r_{mj} = the constant on coefficient β_j in the m -th linear restriction, $m = 1, \dots, q$.

- The $q \times 1$ restrictions vector r takes the form

$$r = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_q \end{bmatrix}$$

where

r_m = the constant term in the m -th linear restriction, $m = 1, \dots, q$.

- The matrix-vector product $R\beta$ is a $q \times 1$ vector of linear functions of the regression coefficients $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$:

$$R\beta = \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{10} & r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1k} \\ r_{20} & r_{21} & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{q0} & r_{q1} & r_{q2} & \cdots & r_{qk} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{(q \times K)} & \text{(K \times 1)} \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{10}\beta_0 + r_{11}\beta_1 + r_{12}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{1k}\beta_k \\ r_{20}\beta_0 + r_{21}\beta_1 + r_{22}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{2k}\beta_k \\ \vdots \\ r_{q0}\beta_0 + r_{q1}\beta_1 + r_{q2}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{qk}\beta_k \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{(q \times 1)} \end{matrix}$$

- The null and alternative hypotheses can therefore be written as follows:

$$H_0: R\beta = r \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} r_{10}\beta_0 + r_{11}\beta_1 + r_{12}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{1k}\beta_k \\ r_{20}\beta_0 + r_{21}\beta_1 + r_{22}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{2k}\beta_k \\ \vdots \\ r_{q0}\beta_0 + r_{q1}\beta_1 + r_{q2}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{qk}\beta_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_1: R\beta \neq r \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} r_{10}\beta_0 + r_{11}\beta_1 + r_{12}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{1k}\beta_k \\ r_{20}\beta_0 + r_{21}\beta_1 + r_{22}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{2k}\beta_k \\ \vdots \\ r_{q0}\beta_0 + r_{q1}\beta_1 + r_{q2}\beta_2 + \cdots + r_{qk}\beta_k \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_q \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Null and Alternative Hypotheses

□ Null and Alternative Hypotheses

- The **null hypothesis** is that the coefficient vector β satisfies a set of q independent linear coefficient restrictions:

$$H_0: R\beta = r \Leftrightarrow R\beta - r = \underline{0}$$

- The **alternative hypothesis** is that the coefficient vector β does not satisfy the set of q independent linear coefficient restrictions specified by H_0 :

$$H_1: R\beta \neq r \Leftrightarrow R\beta - r \neq \underline{0}$$

□ **Two Alternative Estimators of the Probit Coefficient Vector β**

1. The *restricted coefficient estimates* computed under $H_0: R\beta - r = \underline{0}$, which are denoted as follows:

$\tilde{\beta}$ = the *restricted* ML estimator of the probit coefficient vector β ;

$$\ln \hat{L}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \ln \Phi(x_i^T \tilde{\beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Y_i) \ln [1 - \Phi(x_i^T \tilde{\beta})]$$

= the *restricted maximized loglikelihood value*;

$K - q$ = the number of free probit coefficients in the *restricted model*.

2. The *unrestricted coefficient estimates* computed under $H_1: R\beta - r \neq \underline{0}$, which are denoted as follows:

$\hat{\beta}$ = the *unrestricted* ML estimator of the probit coefficient vector β ;

$$\ln \hat{L}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \ln \Phi(x_i^T \hat{\beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Y_i) \ln [1 - \Phi(x_i^T \hat{\beta})]$$

= the *unrestricted maximized loglikelihood value*;

K = the number of free probit coefficients in the *unrestricted model*.

4. Likelihood Ratio Tests of Linear Coefficient Restrictions

□ The Likelihood Ratio Statistic

The LR statistic essentially compares the maximized loglikelihood values for the restricted and unrestricted probit coefficient estimates $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta}$.

$\ln \hat{L}_0$ = the **restricted maximized loglikelihood value** corresponding to the restricted probit coefficient estimates $\tilde{\beta}$ computed under the null hypothesis H_0 .

$$\ln \hat{L}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \ln \Phi(x_i^T \tilde{\beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Y_i) \ln [1 - \Phi(x_i^T \tilde{\beta})]$$

$\ln \hat{L}_1$ = the **unrestricted maximized loglikelihood value** corresponding to the unrestricted probit coefficient estimates $\hat{\beta}$ computed under the alternative hypothesis H_0 .

$$\ln \hat{L}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \ln \Phi(x_i^T \hat{\beta}) + \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - Y_i) \ln [1 - \Phi(x_i^T \hat{\beta})]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note: } \ln \hat{L}_0 \leq \ln \hat{L}_1 &\Rightarrow \ln \hat{L}_0 - \ln \hat{L}_1 \leq 0 \Rightarrow -2[\ln \hat{L}_0 - \ln \hat{L}_1] \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \ln \hat{L}_1 - \ln \hat{L}_0 \geq 0 \Rightarrow 2[\ln \hat{L}_1 - \ln \hat{L}_0] \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- **The LR statistic**

$$LR = -2[\ln \hat{L}_0 - \ln \hat{L}_1] = 2[\ln \hat{L}_1 - \ln \hat{L}_0] \sim \chi^2[q] \text{ under } H_0$$

where

q = the **number of independent linear coefficient restrictions** specified by the null hypothesis H_0

$\chi^2[q]$ = the **chi-square distribution** with **q degrees of freedom**.

- **Decision Rule**

Reject H_0 against H_1 at significance level α if:

$$LR > \chi_{\alpha}^2[q] \quad \text{or} \quad \text{p-value of LR} < \alpha$$

Retain H_0 against H_1 at significance level α if:

$$LR \leq \chi_{\alpha}^2[q] \quad \text{or} \quad \text{p-value of LR} \geq \alpha$$

5. Wald Tests of Linear Coefficient Restrictions

□ **The Wald Test is Based on the Wald Principle of Hypothesis Testing**

The **Wald principle** of hypothesis testing computes hypothesis tests using *only the unrestricted probit coefficient estimates* $\hat{\beta}$ of the model computed under the alternative hypothesis $H_1: R\beta \neq r$.

□ **The Wald Statistic**

The **Wald test statistic** W for testing the null hypothesis $H_0: R\beta = r$ against the alternative hypothesis $H_1: R\beta \neq r$ takes the form

$$W = (R\hat{\beta} - r)^T (R(\hat{V}[\hat{\beta}])R^T)^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - r) \sim \chi^2[q] \quad \text{under } H_0$$

or

$$W = (R\hat{\beta} - r)^T (R\hat{V}_{ML}R^T)^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - r) \sim \chi^2[q] \quad \text{under } H_0$$

where:

$\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{ML}$ = the *unrestricted ML estimator of probit coefficient vector* β ;

$\hat{V}_{ML} = \hat{V}[\hat{\beta}]$ = the **ML estimator of the covariance matrix** $V = V[\hat{\beta}]$ of $\hat{\beta}$;

$\chi^2[q]$ = the *chi-square distribution* with q degrees of freedom.

Note: The ML probit coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}$ and the ML coefficient covariance matrix estimator \hat{V}_{ML} used in the Wald test statistic W are computed using only *unrestricted estimates* of the probit model under the alternative hypothesis $H_1: R\beta \neq r$.

- **Decision Rule**

Reject H_0 against H_1 at significance level α if:

$$W > \chi^2_{\alpha}[q] \quad \text{or} \quad \text{p-value of } W < \alpha$$

Retain H_0 against H_1 at significance level α if:

$$W \leq \chi^2_{\alpha}[q] \quad \text{or} \quad \text{p-value of } W \geq \alpha$$

6. Relationship Between Wald and LR Tests

□ The Wald and LR Statistics

$$W = \left(R\hat{\beta} - r \right)^T \left(R\hat{V}_{ML}R^T \right)^{-1} \left(R\hat{\beta} - r \right) \sim \chi^2[q] \quad \text{under } H_0$$

$$LR = -2[\ln \hat{L}_0 - \ln \hat{L}_1] = 2[\ln \hat{L}_1 - \ln \hat{L}_0] \sim \chi^2[q] \quad \text{under } H_0$$

□ Tests Based on the W and LR Statistics are Not Equivalent

The Wald statistic W and the Likelihood Ratio statistic LR do not yield equivalent or identical tests of $H_0: R\beta = r$ against $H_1: R\beta \neq r$.

This nonequivalence follows from the fact that **the two test statistics W and LR are *not equal***; that is, they yield different calculated sample values of the test statistic.

$$W \neq LR$$

But the two test statistics W and LR do have *identical null distributions*, namely the $\chi^2[q]$ distribution.

$$W \sim \chi^2[q] \quad \text{and} \quad LR \sim \chi^2[q] \quad \text{under} \quad H_0: R\beta = r$$

- **Result:** The Wald and LR tests of the same null hypothesis can yield ***different inferences***.